

Tutorial One Solutions

[1] What do hubvietri.f and ueq0vietri.f give for the Green's function?

hubvietri.f	$G(0,1)=-0.10272$
ueq0vietri.f	$G(0,1)=-0.10246$
hubvietri.f	$G(0,2)=-0.00410$
ueq0vietri.f	$G(0,2)=-0.00411$

[2] Can you show that when you reduce $\Delta\tau$ (at fixed β) that the agreement between the two codes becomes perfect? The Trotter errors associated with the checkerboard breakup can thus be eliminated.

hubvietri.f $L = 4, \Delta\tau = 0.12500$	$G(0,1) = -0.10272$
hubvietri.f $L = 8, \Delta\tau = 0.06250$	$G(0,1) = -0.10252$
hubvietri.f $L = 16, \Delta\tau = 0.03125$	$G(0,1) = -0.10247$
teq0vietri.f	$G(0,1) = -0.10246$

[3] Show (numerically) that for the special case of a 4x4 lattice there are no Trotter errors with the checkerboard breakup. That is, verify that the two codes agree perfectly in this case. Can you prove the result analytically?

For a 4x4 lattice with $t = 1, U = 0, \mu = -1$ I find

hubvietri.f $L = 4, \Delta\tau = 0.12500$	$G(0,1) = -0.10136$
hubvietri.f $L = 8, \Delta\tau = 0.06250$	$G(0,1) = -0.10136$
hubvietri.f $L = 16, \Delta\tau = 0.03125$	$G(0,1) = -0.10136$
teq0vietri.f	$G(0,1) = -0.10136$

I will leave the analytic proof of the vanishing to you. I suspect it might be related to the fact that the 4x4 lattice is topologically equivalent to the 2x2x2x2 lattice (n=2 hypercube in 4 dimensions). See also Tutorial 2 Solutions.

[4] Check that the results in hubvietri.f for $G(0,1)$ are consistent with the results reported by the code for the kinetic energy. The code reports $G(0,1) = -0.10272$ and the kinetic energy as $KE = -0.82172$. The Green's function $\langle c_i c_j^\dagger \rangle$ contains only one of the two Hermitian conjugate terms in the kinetic energy. There are also two spatial directions (x, y) in the kinetic energy. Finally, there are two spin species. Thus we must multiply the near-neighbor Greens function by 8 to bring it into agreement with the kinetic energy. This relation is satisfied.