Monte Carlo simulations of quantum systems with global updates

Alejandro Muramatsu Institut für Theoretische Physik III Universität Stuttgart

The hybrid-loop algorithm



Consider the following quantity

$$<\mathcal{O}>=\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{O}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>}{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>},$$

where $\{|s_0 >\}$ is a complete set of spin states $|\Psi_T >$ is a trial wavefunction for spinless fermions \mathcal{P} is a projector to the subspace without double occupancy

Consider the following quantity

$$<\mathcal{O}>=\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{O}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>}{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>},$$

where $\{|s_0 >\}$ is a complete set of spin states $|\Psi_T >$ is a trial wavefunction for spinless fermions \mathcal{P} is a projector to the subspace without double occupancy

As long as the overlap with the ground-state is finite

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}H} \mathcal{P} |\Psi_T \rangle \otimes |s_0\rangle = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}E_G} |\Psi_G\rangle,$$

Consider the following quantity

$$<\mathcal{O}>=\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{O}\exp\left(-\theta H/2\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>}{\sum_{\{s_0\}}< s_0|\otimes<\Psi_T|\mathcal{P}\exp\left(-\theta H\right)\mathcal{P}|\Psi_T>\otimes|s_0>},$$

where $\{|s_0 >\}$ is a complete set of spin states $|\Psi_T >$ is a trial wavefunction for spinless fermions \mathcal{P} is a projector to the subspace without double occupancy

As long as the overlap with the ground-state is finite

$$\lim_{\theta \to \infty} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}H} \mathcal{P} |\Psi_T \rangle \otimes |s_0\rangle = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}E_G} |\Psi_G \rangle,$$

Then

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}e^{-\theta E_G} \langle \Psi_G | \mathcal{O} | \Psi_G \rangle}{\mathcal{N}e^{-\theta E_G} \langle \Psi_G | \Psi_G \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Psi_G | \mathcal{O} | \Psi_G \rangle}{\langle \Psi_G | \Psi_G \rangle},$$

Trotter splitting and introduction of complete sets of spin states

$$< \mathcal{O} > = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \left[\sum_{\{s_i\}} < \Psi_T | \otimes < s_0 | \mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} > \dots < s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} > \right. \\ \times < s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} | \mathcal{O} | s_{\frac{L}{2}} > < s_{\frac{L}{2}} | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{\frac{L}{2}-1} > \\ \times \dots < s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} \mathcal{P} | s_0 > \otimes | \Psi_T > \right] \\ \times \left[\sum_{\{s_i\}} < \Psi_T | \otimes < s_0 | \mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} > \dots \\ \times < s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} \mathcal{P} | s_0 > \otimes | \Psi_T > \right]^{-1}$$

where $\Delta \tau = \theta / L$.

Trotter splitting and introduction of complete sets of spin states

$$<\mathcal{O}> = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \left[\sum_{\{s_i\}} <\Psi_T | \otimes < s_0 | \mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} > \dots < s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} > \\ \times < s_{\frac{L}{2}+1} | \mathcal{O} | s_{\frac{L}{2}} > < s_{\frac{L}{2}} | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{\frac{L}{2}-1} > \\ \times \dots < s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} \mathcal{P} | s_0 > \otimes | \Psi_T > \right] \\ \times \left[\sum_{\{s_i\}} <\Psi_T | \otimes < s_0 | \mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} > \dots \\ \times < s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} \mathcal{P} | s_0 > \otimes | \Psi_T > \right]^{-1}$$

where $\Delta \tau = \theta / L$.

The Hamiltonian has only nearest neighbor terms \longrightarrow checkerboard decomposition

Bond Hamiltonian for the t-J model

$$\begin{split} H_{\langle i,j\rangle} &= \hat{\mathcal{A}} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right) + \left[\frac{J}{4} - \hat{\mathcal{B}}\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1\right) \;, \\ \text{where} \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}} &\equiv \frac{t}{2} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_j + f_j^{\dagger} f_i\right) \;, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \frac{J}{4} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_i + f_j^{\dagger} f_j\right) \end{split}$$

Bond Hamiltonian for the t-J model

$$\begin{split} H_{\langle i,j\rangle} &= \hat{\mathcal{A}} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right) + \left[\frac{J}{4} - \hat{\mathcal{B}}\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1\right) \ , \\ \text{where} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{A}} &\equiv \frac{t}{2} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_j + f_j^{\dagger} f_i\right) \ , \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \frac{J}{4} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_i + f_j^{\dagger} f_j\right) \end{split}$$

It holds $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = 0$.

Bond Hamiltonian for the t-J model

$$\begin{split} H_{\langle i,j\rangle} &= \hat{\mathcal{A}} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right) + \left[\frac{J}{4} - \hat{\mathcal{B}}\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1\right) \;, \\ \text{where} \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}} &\equiv \frac{t}{2} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_j + f_j^{\dagger} f_i\right) \;, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \frac{J}{4} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_i + f_j^{\dagger} f_j\right) \end{split}$$

It holds $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = 0$.

Bond Hamiltonian for the Heisenberg model

$$H^H_{\langle i,j \rangle} = \frac{J}{4} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1 \right) \; .$$

Bond Hamiltonian for the t-J model

$$\begin{split} H_{\langle i,j\rangle} &= \hat{\mathcal{A}} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\right) + \left[\frac{J}{4} - \hat{\mathcal{B}}\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1\right) \ ,\\ \end{split} \quad \\ \texttt{where} \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}} &\equiv \frac{t}{2} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_j + f_j^{\dagger} f_i\right) \ , \qquad \texttt{and} \qquad \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \frac{J}{4} \left(f_i^{\dagger} f_i + f_j^{\dagger} f_j\right) \end{split}$$

It holds $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = 0$.

Bond Hamiltonian for the Heisenberg model

$$H_{\langle i,j \rangle}^H = \frac{J}{4} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j - 1 \right) \; .$$

Canonical transformation for the spin part

$$\sigma_i^x \to (-1)^i \sigma_i^x , \qquad \sigma_i^y \to (-1)^i \sigma_i^y , \qquad \sigma_i^z \to \sigma_i^z ,$$
$$\hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \quad \to \quad -2 \left(\sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- + \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^+ \right) + \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$$

Matrix elements

$$i) <\uparrow \uparrow | e^{-\Delta\tau H_{\langle i,j \rangle}} |\uparrow \uparrow \rangle = \exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{A}\right).$$

$$ii) <\downarrow | e^{-\Delta\tau H_{\langle i,j \rangle}} |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle = \exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{A}\right).$$

$$iii) <\uparrow \downarrow | e^{-\Delta\tau H_{\langle i,j \rangle}} |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{A}\right) + \exp\left[\Delta\tau \left(2\hat{A} + J - 4\hat{B}\right)\right] \right\}.$$

$$iv) <\uparrow \downarrow | e^{-\Delta\tau H_{\langle i,j \rangle}} |\downarrow \uparrow \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{A}\right) + \exp\left[\Delta\tau \left(2\hat{A} + J - 4\hat{B}\right)\right] \right\}.$$

$$v) <\downarrow \uparrow | e^{-\Delta\tau H_{\langle i,j \rangle}} |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle = iv).$$

vi) $<\downarrow\uparrow\mid\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta au H_{< i, j>}}\mid\downarrow\uparrow>=iii$).

4.3 Partition function

4.3 Partition function

Although we are at T = 0, we have formally a partition function (or generating functional)

$$Z \equiv \sum_{\{s_i\}} \langle \Psi_T | \otimes \langle s_0 | \mathcal{P} e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} \rangle \cdots \langle s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} \mathcal{P} | s_0 \rangle \otimes | \Psi_T \rangle$$
$$\equiv \sum_{\{s_i\}} W_S [\{s_i\}] W_F [\{s_i\}] ,$$

where $W_S[\{s_i\}]$ is the weight of a pure Heisenberg model for a spinconfiguration $\{s_i\}$, and

$$W_F[\{s_i\}] \equiv \langle \Psi_T | \otimes \overline{\langle s_0 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_{L-1} \rangle} \cdots \overline{\langle s_1 | e^{-\Delta \tau H} | s_0 \rangle} \otimes | \Psi_T \rangle,$$

with the definition

$$\frac{\langle s_{\ell}|e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{\ell-1}\rangle}{\langle s_{\ell}|e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{\ell-1}\rangle} = \frac{\langle s_{\ell}|e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{\ell-1}\rangle}{\langle s_{\ell}|e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{\ell-1}\rangle}$$

For the trial wavefunction, take a Slater determinant, i.e. an antisymmetrized product of one-particle states

$$\Psi_T > = \prod_{j=1}^{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij} f_i^{\dagger} \mid 0 > ,$$

where N is the number of sites, and N_p is the number of holes.

For the trial wavefunction, take a Slater determinant, i.e. an antisymmetrized product of one-particle states

$$\Psi_T > = \prod_{j=1}^{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij} f_i^{\dagger} \mid 0 > ,$$

where N is the number of sites, and N_p is the number of holes. Orthonormalized states $\longrightarrow \sum_i P_{ij}P_{ik} = \delta_{jk}$

For the trial wavefunction, take a Slater determinant, i.e. an antisymmetrized product of one-particle states

$$\Psi_T > = \prod_{j=1}^{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij} f_i^{\dagger} \mid 0 > ,$$

where N is the number of sites, and N_p is the number of holes. Orthonormalized states $\longrightarrow \sum_i P_{ij}P_{ik} = \delta_{jk}$

 \hookrightarrow normalized trial wavefunction

$$< \Psi_T \mid \Psi_T > = \sum_{\substack{i_1 \cdots i_{N_p} \\ j_1 \cdots j_{N_p}}} P_{i_1 1} \cdots P_{i_{N_p} N_p} P_{j_1 1} \cdots P_{j_{N_p} N_p}$$

$$\times < 0 \mid f_{i_{N_p}} \cdots f_{i_1} f_{j_1}^{\dagger} \cdots f_{j_{N_p}}^{\dagger} \mid 0 > ,$$

where

$$<0\mid f_{i_{N_p}}\cdots f_{i_1}f_{j_1}^{\dagger}\cdots f_{j_{N_p}}^{\dagger}\mid 0> = \varepsilon^{k_1\cdots k_{N_p}}\delta_{i_ij_{k_1}}\cdots \delta_{i_{N_p}j_{k_{N_p}}}$$

Consider

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\Delta\tau t (f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + h.c.)}$$
(1)

Consider

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\Delta\tau t(f_i^{\dagger}f_{i+1} + h.c.)}$$
(1)

Introduce new operators

$$\tilde{f}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} + f_{i+1})$$

 $\tilde{f}_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} - f_{i+1})$

Consider

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\Delta\tau t (f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + h.c.)}$$
(1)

Introduce new operators

$$\tilde{f}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} + f_{i+1})$$

 $\tilde{f}_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} - f_{i+1})$

 $\hookrightarrow f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + f_{i+1}^{\dagger} f_i = \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}$ such that

(1) =
$$e^{-\Delta \tau t (\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1})}$$

Consider

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\Delta\tau t (f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + h.c.)}$$
(1)

Introduce new operators

$$\tilde{f}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} + f_{i+1})$$

 $\tilde{f}_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} - f_{i+1})$

 $\hookrightarrow f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + f_{i+1}^{\dagger} f_i = \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}$ such that

(1) =
$$e^{-\Delta \tau t (\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1})}$$

= $e^{-\Delta \tau t \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i} e^{\Delta \tau t \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}}$

Consider

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\Delta\tau t (f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + h.c.)}$$
(1)

Introduce new operators

$$\tilde{f}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} + f_{i+1})$$

 $\tilde{f}_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{i} - f_{i+1})$

 $\hookrightarrow f_i^{\dagger} f_{i+1} + f_{i+1}^{\dagger} f_i = \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}$ such that

$$(1) = e^{-\Delta \tau t (\tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1})}$$

$$= e^{-\Delta \tau t \tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i}} e^{\Delta \tau t \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}}$$

$$= \left[1 + \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} - 1\right) \tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i}\right] \left[1 + \left(e^{\Delta \tau t} - 1\right) \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_{i+1}\right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,j}[s_0] f_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left(P_{i,j} f_i^{\dagger} + P_{i+1,j} f_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,j}[s_0] f_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left(P_{i,j} f_i^{\dagger} + P_{i+1,j} f_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left[P_{i,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} + \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) + P_{i+1,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) \right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,j}[s_0] f_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left(P_{i,j} f_i^{\dagger} + P_{i+1,j} f_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left[P_{i,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} + \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) + P_{i+1,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{P}_{i,j}[s_0] \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger}$$

with $\tilde{P}_{i,j} = P_{i,j} + (-1)^{i+1}P_{i+1,j}$ (assumed splitting with first index odd)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i,j}[s_0] f_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left(P_{i,j} f_i^{\dagger} + P_{i+1,j} f_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i \text{ odd}}^{N-1} \left[P_{i,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} + \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) + P_{i+1,j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} - \tilde{f}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \right) \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{P}_{i,j}[s_0] \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger}$$

with $\tilde{P}_{i,j} = P_{i,j} + (-1)^{i+1}P_{i+1,j}$ (assumed splitting with first index odd) Explicit form for a Slater determinant

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N_p} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} \, \tilde{f}_{\ell}^{\dagger} \mid 0 > = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{N_p}=1}^{N} \sum_{P} P \begin{pmatrix} i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{N_p} \\ j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{N_p} \end{pmatrix} (-1)^p \\
\times \tilde{P}_{j_1,1} \tilde{P}_{j_2,2} \cdots \tilde{P}_{j_{N_p},N_p} \, \tilde{f}_{i_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_{N_p}}^{\dagger} \mid 0 >$$

with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{N_p}$

Consider the product

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + (e^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1) \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i \end{bmatrix} | \Psi_T \rangle = | \Psi_T \rangle + (e^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1) \tilde{f}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{f}_i \\ \times \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_p}} \sum_P P \begin{pmatrix} i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{N_p} \\ j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{N_p} \end{pmatrix} (-1)^p \\ \times \tilde{P}_{j_1, 1} \tilde{P}_{j_2, 2} \cdots \tilde{P}_{j_{N_p}, N_p} \tilde{f}_{i_1}^{\dagger} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_{N_p}}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

Consider the product

$$\begin{split} \left[1 + \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1\right)\tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\tilde{f}_{i}\right] \mid \Psi_{T} \rangle &= \left|\Psi_{T} \rangle + \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1\right)\tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\tilde{f}_{i}\right. \\ &\times \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{N_{p}}}\sum_{P} P\left(\frac{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{N_{p}}}{j_{1}j_{2}\cdots j_{N_{p}}}\right) (-1)^{p} \\ &\times \tilde{P}_{j_{1},1}\tilde{P}_{j_{2},2}\cdots \tilde{P}_{j_{N_{p}},N_{p}}\tilde{f}_{i_{1}}^{\dagger}\cdots \tilde{f}_{i_{N_{p}}}^{\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{N_{p}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\tilde{P}_{\ell,j}^{\prime}\tilde{f}_{\ell}^{\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle, \end{split}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}'_{\ell,j} = \begin{cases} e^{-\Delta \tau J} \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell = i, \\ \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Consider the product

$$\begin{split} \left[1 + \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1\right)\tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\tilde{f}_{i}\right] \mid \Psi_{T} \rangle &= \left|\Psi_{T} \rangle + \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta\tau J} - 1\right)\tilde{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\tilde{f}_{i}\right. \\ &\times \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{N_{p}}}\sum_{P} P\left(\frac{i_{1}i_{2}\cdots i_{N_{p}}}{j_{1}j_{2}\cdots j_{N_{p}}}\right) (-1)^{p} \\ &\times \tilde{P}_{j_{1},1}\tilde{P}_{j_{2},2}\cdots \tilde{P}_{j_{N_{p}},N_{p}}\tilde{f}_{i_{1}}^{\dagger}\cdots \tilde{f}_{i_{N_{p}}}^{\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{N_{p}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\tilde{P}_{\ell,j}^{\prime}\tilde{f}_{\ell}^{\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle, \end{split}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}'_{\ell,j} = \begin{cases} e^{-\Delta \tau J} \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell = i, \\ \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell \neq i. \end{cases}$$

For the full operator we have

$$\tilde{P}'_{\ell,j} = \begin{cases} e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell = i+1, \\ e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{for } \ell = i, \\ \tilde{P}_{\ell,j} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Back to the original representation

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

Back to the original representation

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

Back to the original representation

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right]$$
$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right]$$

$$= \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j}$$

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right]$$

$$= \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j}$$

$$P'_{i+1,j}$$

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ = \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} \\ P'_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} - \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ = \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} \\ P'_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} - \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} - e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$P'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right]$$

$$= \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j}$$

$$P'_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} - \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} - e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) - e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{split} P_{i,j}' &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}_{i,j}' + \tilde{P}_{i+1,j}' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ &= \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} \\ P_{i+1,j}' &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}_{i,j}' - \tilde{P}_{i+1,j}' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} - e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) - e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ &= -\sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} + \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{i,j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} + \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} + e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) + e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ &= \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} - \sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} \\ P'_{i+1,j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}'_{i,j} - \tilde{P}'_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{-\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i,j} - e^{\Delta \tau t} \tilde{P}_{i+1,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} + P_{i+1,j} \right) - e^{\Delta \tau t} \left(P_{i,j} - P_{i+1,j} \right) \right] \\ &= -\sinh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i,j} + \cosh \left(\Delta \tau t \right) P_{i+1,j} , \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent to matrix \times vector

$$e^{-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \mid \Psi_S > \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \\ -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \end{pmatrix} \times P_{ij} .$$

Exponential of a bilinear form transforms a Slater determinant into another Slater determinant .

Exponential of a bilinear form transforms a Slater determinant into another Slater determinant .

After the evolution we have a scalar product of two Slater determinants

 $<\Psi_T|\otimes < s_0|\mathcal{P}e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{L-1}>\cdots < s_1|e^{-\Delta\tau H}\mathcal{P}|s_0>\otimes|\Psi_T> = <\Psi_T|\tilde{\Psi}>$

Exponential of a bilinear form transforms a Slater determinant into another Slater determinant .

After the evolution we have a scalar product of two Slater determinants

$$<\Psi_T|\otimes < s_0|\mathcal{P}e^{-\Delta\tau H}|s_{L-1}>\cdots < s_1|e^{-\Delta\tau H}\mathcal{P}|s_0>\otimes|\Psi_T> = <\Psi_T|\tilde{\Psi}>$$

Recall

$$<0\mid f_{i_{N_p}}\cdots f_{i_1}f_{j_1}^{\dagger}\cdots f_{j_{N_p}}^{\dagger}\mid 0> \quad = \quad \varepsilon^{k_1\cdots k_{N_p}}\delta_{i_ij_{k_1}}\cdots \delta_{i_{N_p}j_{k_{N_p}}} \; .$$

Fermionic determinant $\longrightarrow W_F[\{s_i\}]$

$$\langle \Psi_T \mid \tilde{\Psi} \rangle = \sum_{\substack{i_1 \cdots i_{N_p} \\ j_1 \cdots j_{N_p}}} P_{i_1 1} \cdots P_{i_{N_p} N_p} \tilde{P}_{j_1 1} \cdots \tilde{P}_{j_{N_p} N_p}$$
$$\times \langle 0 \mid f_{i_{N_p}} \cdots f_{i_1} f_{j_1}^{\dagger} \cdots f_{j_{N_p}}^{\dagger} \mid 0 \rangle$$
$$= \det \left(P^T \tilde{P} \right)$$

4.5 Matrix elements for the evolution of fermionic states

4.5 Matrix elements for the evolution of fermionic states

$$\exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}\right) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \\ -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\exp\left(-4\Delta\tau\hat{\mathcal{B}}\right) \rightarrow e^{-\Delta\tau J} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

4.5 Matrix elements for the evolution of fermionic states

$$\exp\left(-\Delta\tau 2\hat{\mathcal{A}}\right) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \\ -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\exp\left(-4\Delta\tau\hat{\mathcal{B}}\right) \rightarrow e^{-\Delta\tau J} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the matrix elements we have finally

$$\frac{\langle \uparrow \uparrow | \cdots | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle}{\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle} = \frac{\langle \downarrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle}{\langle \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \\ -\sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle}{\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle} = \frac{\langle \downarrow \uparrow | \cdots | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle}{\langle \downarrow \uparrow | \cdots | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle} = \frac{e^{-\Delta\tau J/2}}{\cosh\left(\Delta\tau J/2\right)} \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle}{\langle \uparrow \downarrow | \cdots | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle} = \frac{e^{-\Delta\tau J/2}}{\sinh\left(\Delta\tau J/2\right)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) \\ \sinh\left(\Delta\tau t\right) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Weight of a configuration of pseudospins

 $W[\{s_i\}] = W_S[\{s_i\}] W_F[\{s_i\}]$

Weight of a configuration of pseudospins

 $W[\{s_i\}] = W_S[\{s_i\}] W_F[\{s_i\}]$

Probability for new configuration p = r/(1+r) (heat bath) with

$$r \equiv \frac{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{new}}\right]}{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{old}}\right]}$$

Weight of a configuration of pseudospins

 $W[\{s_i\}] = W_S[\{s_i\}] W_F[\{s_i\}]$

Probability for new configuration p = r/(1+r) (heat bath) with

$$r \equiv \frac{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{new}}\right]}{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{old}}\right]}$$

Generate new configurations with the loop-algorithm

Weight of a configuration of pseudospins

 $W[\{s_i\}] = W_S[\{s_i\}] W_F[\{s_i\}]$

Probability for new configuration p = r/(1+r) (heat bath) with

$$r \equiv \frac{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{new}}\right]}{W\left[\left\{s_i\right\}_{\text{old}}\right]}$$

Generate new configurations with the loop-algorithm

Evolution operator in imaginary time is not unitary \implies orthogonality is not preserved

Evolution operator in imaginary time is not unitary \implies orthogonality is not preserved

 $\hookrightarrow \textbf{evolution is numerically unstable}$

Evolution operator in imaginary time is not unitary \implies orthogonality is not preserved

 $\hookrightarrow \textbf{evolution is numerically unstable}$

Define

$$\Psi[s_0], \tau \ge \exp(-\tau H) \mathcal{P}|s_0 > \otimes |\Psi_T >$$

Evolution operator in imaginary time is not unitary \implies orthogonality is not preserved

 $\hookrightarrow \textbf{ evolution is numerically unstable}$

Define

$$\Psi[s_0], \tau \ge \exp(-\tau H) \mathcal{P}|s_0 > \otimes |\Psi_T >$$

Expectation value

$$<\mathcal{O}> = \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\{s_0\}} <\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \mathcal{O} \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}{\sum_{\{s_0\}} <\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}$$

$$= \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \sum_{\{s_0\}} \frac{<\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \mathcal{O} \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}{<\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}$$

$$\times \frac{<\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}{\sum_{\{s_0\}} <\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}$$

$$= \lim_{\theta \to \infty} \sum_{\{s_0\}} <\bar{\Psi}[s_0], \theta/2 \mid \mathcal{O} \mid \bar{\Psi}[s_0], \theta/2 > \frac{W_S[s_0] W_F[s_0]}{Z}$$

$$|\bar{\Psi}[s_0], \theta/2 \rangle \equiv \frac{|\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 | \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \rangle}}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}[s_0], \theta/2 \ge \frac{|\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 | \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \rangle}}$$

Gramm-Schmidt decomposition

$$|\Psi[s_0], \tau > \longrightarrow P_{\tau} = U_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} ,$$

where $U^{\dagger}U = \mathbf{1}_{N_p \times N_p}$, D is a diagonal matrix with (exponentially) large and small energy scales containing the norm of the one particle states, and V is an upper triangular matrix with unity in the diagonal, and hence, with determinant one.

$$\bar{\Psi}[s_0], \theta/2 \ge \frac{|\Psi[s_0], \theta/2 >}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 | \Psi[s_0], \theta/2 \rangle}}$$

Gramm-Schmidt decomposition

$$|\Psi[s_0], \tau > \longrightarrow P_{\tau} = U_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} ,$$

where $U^{\dagger}U = \mathbf{1}_{N_p \times N_p}$, D is a diagonal matrix with (exponentially) large and small energy scales containing the norm of the one particle states, and V is an upper triangular matrix with unity in the diagonal, and hence, with determinant one.

Norm of the wavefunction

$$< \Psi [s_0], \tau \mid \Psi [s_0], \tau > = \det \left(V_{\tau}^{\dagger} D_{\tau} U_{\tau}^{\dagger} U_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} \right)$$
$$= \det \left(V_{\tau}^{\dagger} D_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} \right) = \left(\det D \right)^2$$

$$\bar{\Psi}\left[s_{0}\right], \theta/2 \ge \frac{|\Psi\left[s_{0}\right], \theta/2 >}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi\left[s_{0}\right], \theta/2 \mid \Psi\left[s_{0}\right], \theta/2 >}}$$

Gramm-Schmidt decomposition

$$|\Psi[s_0], \tau > \longrightarrow P_{\tau} = U_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} ,$$

where $U^{\dagger}U = \mathbf{1}_{N_p \times N_p}$, D is a diagonal matrix with (exponentially) large and small energy scales containing the norm of the one particle states, and V is an upper triangular matrix with unity in the diagonal, and hence, with determinant one.

Norm of the wavefunction

$$< \Psi [s_0], \tau \mid \Psi [s_0], \tau > = \det \left(V_{\tau}^{\dagger} D_{\tau} U_{\tau}^{\dagger} U_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} \right)$$
$$= \det \left(V_{\tau}^{\dagger} D_{\tau} D_{\tau} V_{\tau} \right) = \left(\det D \right)^2$$

 $\hookrightarrow < \mathcal{O} >$ is numerically stable, since we need only U.

• Equal-time correlators for fermions and diagonal operators for spins.

- Equal-time correlators for fermions and diagonal operators for spins.
- Time-displaced correlators —> spectral functions

- Equal-time correlators for fermions and diagonal operators for spins.
- Time-displaced correlators —> spectral functions
- Up to now, no improved estimators

- Equal-time correlators for fermions and diagonal operators for spins.
- Time-displaced correlators —> spectral functions
- Up to now, no improved estimators
- Minus-sign problem practically non existing in one dimension

4.9.1 Comparison with exact diagonalization



4.9.1 Comparison with exact diagonalization



Convergence with $10 \le \Theta t \le 20$

4.9.1 Comparison with exact diagonalization



Convergence with $10 \le \Theta t \le 20$

4.9.2 Autocorrelation time

12 holes L=30 J/t=2


4.9 Tests

4.9.1 Comparison with exact diagonalization



Convergence with $10 \le \Theta t \le 20$

4.9.2 Autocorrelation time



Configurations are statistically independent beyond 2 sweeps