



R. Claudi - INAF - Astronomical Observatory of Padova

DIRECT IMAGING OF EXTRASOLAR PLANETS

IV: CORONAGRAPHY



1st ADVANCED SCHOOL OF EXOPLANETARY SCIENCE METHODS OF DETECTING EXOPLANETS MAY 25-29, 2015 - VIETRI SUL MARE (SA)



Coronography

Early solar coronagraphs











Solar Coronagraphy



Lyot introduced this technique in 1930 in order to be able to observe the Solar Corona

SOHO Image



Stellar Coronagraphy



Ref: McCarthy & Zuckerman (2004); Macintosh et al (2003)



Fourier Optics vs Geometrical Optics

Fourier optics (or physical optics) describes ideal diffraction- limited optical situations (coronagraphs, interferometers, gratings, lenses, prisms, radio telescopes, eyes, etc.):

If the all photons start from the same atom, and follow the same manyfold path to the detectors, with the same amplitudes & phase shifts & polarizations, then we will see a diffraction-controlled interference pattern at the detectors. In other words, **waves** are needed to describe what you see.

Geometric optics describes the same situations but in the limit of zero wavelength, so no diffraction phenomena are seen. In other words, **rays** are all you need to describe what you see.





We see that an ideal lens (or focussing mirror) acts on the amplitude in the **pupil plane**, with a **Fouriertransform** operation, to generate the amplitude in the **image plane**.



We see that an ideal lens (or focussing mirror) acts on the amplitude in the **pupil plane**, with a **Fouriertransform** operation, to generate the amplitude in the **image plane**.

A second lens, after the image plane, would convert the **image-plane** amplitude, with a second **Fouriertransform**, to the plane where the initial **pupil is reimaged**.



We see that an ideal lens (or focussing mirror) acts on the amplitude in the **pupil plane**, with a **Fouriertransform** operation, to generate the amplitude in the **image plane**.

A second lens, after the image plane, would convert the **image-plane** amplitude, with a second **Fouriertransform**, to the plane where the initial **pupil is reimaged**.

A third lens after the **re-imaged pupil** would create a **re-imaged image** plane, via a third **FT**.



We see that an ideal lens (or focussing mirror) acts on the amplitude in the **pupil plane**, with a **Fouriertransform** operation, to generate the amplitude in the **image plane**.

A second lens, after the image plane, would convert the **image-plane** amplitude, with a second **Fouriertransform**, to the plane where the initial **pupil is reimaged**.

A third lens after the **re-imaged pupil** would create a **re-imaged image** plane, via a third **FT**.

At each stage we can **modify the amplitude** with masks, stops, polarization shifts, and phase changes. These all go into the **net transmitted** amplitude, before the next FT operation.





A(u)



A(x)M(x)AM







pupil



pupil















Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane B:
$$\psi_B = \psi_A \Longrightarrow \psi_A M$$

Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane B:
$$\psi_B = \psi_A \Longrightarrow \psi_A .M$$

Plane C:
$$\psi_C = \overline{\psi_B} = \psi_A \otimes \overline{M} \Rightarrow (\psi_A \otimes \overline{M}) D$$

Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane B:
$$\psi_B = \psi_A \Longrightarrow \psi_A .M$$

Plane C:
$$\psi_C = \overline{\psi_B} = \psi_A \otimes \overline{M} \Rightarrow (\psi_A \otimes \overline{M}) D$$

Plane D:
$$\psi_D = \overline{\psi_C} = \left(\overline{\psi_A} M\right) \otimes \overline{D}$$

Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane B:
$$\psi_B = \psi_A \Longrightarrow \psi_A .M$$

Plane C:
$$\psi_C = \overline{\psi_B} = \psi_A \otimes \overline{M} \Rightarrow (\psi_A \otimes \overline{M}) D$$

Plane D:
$$\psi_D = \overline{\psi_C} = \left(\overline{\psi_A} M\right) \otimes \overline{D}$$

Plane A:
$$\psi_A = A e^{i\varphi}$$
 $\psi_A = A$ if $\varphi = 0$

Plane B:
$$\psi_B = \overline{\psi}_A \Rightarrow \overline{\psi}_A M$$

Plane C: $\psi_C = \overline{\psi}_C \Rightarrow \overline{\psi}_A \otimes \overline{M} \Rightarrow (\psi_A \otimes \overline{M}) D$
Plane D: $\psi_D = \overline{\psi}_C = (\overline{\psi}_A M) \otimes \overline{D}$

Π

/

-






Important parameters I

Contrast C: The ratio dark/bright parts of image. Specifically, the average background brightness in the search area, divided by the central star brightness. Speckle/star.

Example: $C = 10^{-10}$ driven by Earth/Sun = $2x10^{-10}$.

Inner working angle IWA: Smallest angle at which a planet can be detected. Inner boundary of high-contrast search area.

Example: IWA = 3 / D driven by 1 AU / 10pc = 0.100 arcsec.

Outer working angle OWA: Largest angle at which a planet can be detected. Outer boundary of high-contrast search area.

Example: OWA = 48 / D driven by N = 96 actuator DM.









Important parameters II

Planet Throughput: Fraction of the light of the planet that survive to the suppression due to the coronagraph.



Important parameters II

Planet Throughput: Fraction of the light of the planet that survive to the suppression due to the coronagraph.



Chromaticity: Capacity of the coronagraph to suppress light on a large range of wavelength.

Low chromaticity is better.

Coronagraphic Zoo ...

TABLE 1

Coronagraphs Able to Achieve 10^{10} PSF Contrast within $5\lambda/d$

Coronagraph	Abbreviation	Reference	Design(s) Adopted
"Interferometric" Coronagraphs			
Achromatic Interferometric Coronagraph	AIC	Baudoz et al. (2000)	
Common-Path Achromatic Interferometer-Coronagraph	CPAIC	Tavrov et al. (2005)	(=AIC)
Pupil Swapping Coronagraph	PSC	Guyon & Shao (2006)	Shear distance $=\pm 0.3$ pupil radius Shear distance $=0.4$ pupil diameter
Pupil Apodization			
Conventional Pupil Apodization and Shaped-Pupilb	CPA	Kasdin et al. (2003)	Prolate ^c ($r = 4.2\lambda/d$, 8% throughput)
Achromatic Pupil Phase Apodization	PPA	Yang & Kostinski (2004)	$\phi = \phi_2(x) + \phi_2(y); a = 2; \epsilon = 0.01$
Phase Induced Amplitude Apodization Coronagraph	PIAAC	Guyon (2003)	Prolate apodization
Phase Induced Zonal Zernike Apodization	PIZZA	Martinache (2004)	Not simulated
Improvement on t	he Lyot Concept	with Amplitude Masks	
Apodized Pupil Lyot Coronagraph	APLC	Soummer et al. (2003a, 2003b)	$r = 1.8\lambda/d$
Apodized Pupil Lyot Coronagraph, N steps	APLCN	Aime & Soummer (2004)	(N, r) = (2, 1.4); (3, 1.2); (4, 1.0)
Band-limited, fourth-order a	BL4	Kuchner & Traub (2002)	\sin^4 intensity mask, $\epsilon = 0.21$
Band-limited, eighth-order	BL8	Kuchner et al. (2005)	$m = 1, l = 3, \epsilon = 0.6$
Improvement on the Lyot Concept with Phase Masks			
Phase Mask	PM	Roddier & Roddier (1997)	With mild prolate pupil apod.
4 Quadrant Phase Mask	4QPM	Rouan et al. (2000)	
Achromatic Phase Knife Coronagraph	APKC	Abe et al. (2001)	(=4QPM)
Optical Vortex Coronagraph, topological charge m	OVCm	Palacios (2005)	m = 2, 4, 6, 8
Angular Groove Phase Mask Coronagraph	AGPMC	Mawet et al. (2005)	(=OVC)
Optical Differentiation	ODC	Oti et al. (2005)	Mask: $x \times \exp^{-(x/10)^2 d}$

Guyon et al., 2006, ApJ Suppl, 167, 81

Peak Throughput



Guyon et al., 2006, ApJ Suppl, 167, 81

Coronagraphy and Astrometry...



Coronagraphy and Astrometry...





Setting a sinusoidal pattern on the DM yields four bright speckles that can be used center

AMPLITUDE BASED

CORONAGRAPH









Apodization

Apodizer = Remove the PSF foot

- Apodization of the entrance pupil to improve the extinction of Lyot and Roddier Masks
- Aime et al (2000): a prolate spheroid function (cos²) together with a square or circular pupil
- Apodized Roddier: Total Extintion
- Apodized Lyot: Partial extintion but smaller occulter
- Loss of angular resolution

Apodization



Apodization

Put an apodized mask in the pupil plane ...



Apodized Lyot coronagraph

The Lyot coronagraph has sharp edges on the pupil. The PSF is then characterized by a considerable amount of light at large separation because pupil and focal planes are conjugated and power at high frequencies (large separation from center) are required to reproduce the sharp edges on the pupil. Smoothing edges on the pupil reduce power at high frequencies, resulting in a sharper PSF. This is obtained by inserting an apodizer on the pupil. This allows to reduce the size of the PSF even wrt not-coronagraphic images (the telescope pupil itself has sharp edges).



Figure 1. The basic layout of an APLC is similar to a classical Lyot coronagraph, but adding an upstream apodized pupil in Plane A. A hard hedged focal make is set in the focal plane B, and a Lyot stop *identical* to the entrance pupil shape in plane C. A remarquable difference between APLC and classical Lyot is that APLCs don't require to undersize the Lyot stop.





http://planetimager.org/



http://planetimager.org/

AO Corrected PSF



http://planetimager.org/

AO Corrected PSF



http://planetimager.org/

AO Corrected PSF

APLC coronagraphic PSF

Expected gain in contrast

Problems:

 Coronagraphs are based on diffraction properties gain is wavelength dependent (more efficient coro's works on a narrow range)

A balance should be found between Inner Working Angle (central obscuration), off-axis transmission and diffraction suppression

For apodized Lyot coro, typical values are : IWA~4-5 /D, transmission ~50%, and gain in contrast a few tens



Simulation for GPI:

dynamic range including speckle and photon noise for a 7th mag star (1000s in H)

Results with ALC on SPHERE



Band Limited Coronograph





FIG. 3.-Examples of hand-limited functions that can be used as mask



PHASE BASED

CORONAGRAPH

Phase Mask

- Introduced by F Roddier (1997)
- Concept: Phase Difference of π -> destructive interference
- Transparent mask
- \Box Thickness $\Delta \phi = 2\pi \delta / \lambda = 2\pi (n-1)e / \lambda = \pi -> e = \lambda (n-1)/2$
- Mask manufacturing: Equilibre between phased and out of phase beams
- Gain in dynamics and angular separation
- **Really chromatic!**

Phase Mask

- Introduced by F Roddier (1997)
- Concept: Phase Difference of π -> destructive interference
- Transparent mask
- \Box Thickness $\Delta \phi = 2\pi \delta / \lambda = 2\pi (n-1)e / \lambda = \pi -> e = \lambda (n-1)/2$
- Mask manufacturing: Equilibre between phased and out of phase beams
 Gain in dynamics and angular separation
 Really chromatic!

e.g.: n_{GLASS}=1.4 lambda=636 nm -> e=127 nm lambda=2µm -> 400 nm

Disk Phase Mask



Disk Phase Mask



Disk Phase Mask


Disk Phase Mask



Rouan et al. (2000) proposed the 4QPM to avoid the Geometric chromaticism

Rouan et al. (2000) proposed the 4QPM to avoid the Geometric chromaticism



Rouan et al. (2000) proposed the 4QPM to avoid the Geometric chromaticism





4QPM Manufacturing



4QPM Manufacturing





Masque de Phase 4 quadrants





4QPMC PROs:

- With respect to the apodized Lyot coronagraph, 4- quadrant have a smaller IWA (down to ~2-3 /D)
- Higher off-axis transmission possible4QPMC CONs:
- Cancelation is wavelength dependent (narrow useful spectral band)
- Maignment is very critical
 - Accurate manufacturing (edge effects should be minimized)
 - Centering of the star image must be at sub-mas accuracy

4QPMC PROs:

- With respect to the apodized Lyot coronagraph, 4- quadrant have a smaller IWA (down to ~2-3 /D)
- Migher off-axis transmission possible
- 4QPMC CONs:
- Cancelation is wavelength dependent (narrow useful spectral band)
- Maignment is very critical
 - Accurate manufacturing (edge effects should be minimized)
 - Centering of the star image must be at sub-mas accuracy

4QPMC PROs:

- With respect to the apodized Lyot coronagraph, 4- quadrant have a smaller IWA (down to ~2-3 /D)
- Migher off-axis transmission possible
- 4QPMC CONs:
- Cancelation is wavelength dependent (narrow useful spectral band)
- Maignment is very critical
 - Mathematical Accurate manufacturing (edge effects should be minimized)
 - Centering of the star image must be at sub-mas accuracy

Results with 4QPM on SPHERE



Optical Vortex

An optical vortex may be characterized as a dark core of destructive interference in a beam of spatially coherent light. This dark core may be used as a filter to attenuate a coherent beam of light so an incoherent background signal may be detected



Optical Vortex

An optical vortex may be characterized as a dark core of destructive interference in a beam of spatially coherent light. This dark core may be used as a filter to attenuate a coherent beam of light so an incoherent background signal may be detected



Optical Vortex



- Many coro's concepts have been developed
- Some of them achieve high cancellation
- Mowever, at expense of low throughput, narrow wavelength range and critical alignment
- The optical vortex is truly close to ideal: small inner working angle
 - high throughput
 - completely clear off-axis discovery space
- Solution of the second second

Contrast with Optical Vortex

Palomar - K-Band (Nawet et al., 2009

NACO - L'-Band (Nawet et al., 2013





Shaped Pupil













Shaped Pupil



shaped pupil

Masque de Phase 4 quadrants

shaped pupil

Masque de Phase 4 quadrants

shaped pupil

Masque de Phase Vortex réalisé en diamant

Masque de Phase 4 quadrants

shaped pupil

Masque de Phase Vortex réalisé en diamant

Apodisation

Occulters...

An occulter is an optical element which is placed in front of the telescope to block most of the light from a star before it reaches the optics inside, without blocking the planet.

TABLE 6. Occulter examples.			
D _{occ} (m)	z (km)	D _{tel} (m)	θ_{IWA}
70	140,000	6.5	50
50	72,000	4.0	72
37	39,000	2.4	98

Traub & Oppenheimer, 2010

Credits: E. Cady JPL